

RICHMOND CITY COUNCIL

SEPTEMBER 26, 2017

The regular meeting of the Richmond City Council was held at the Richmond City Office Building located at 6 West Main, Richmond, Utah on Tuesday, September 26, 2017. The meeting began at 7:00 P.M.; Mayor Jeffrey Young was in the Chair. The opening remarks were made by Marlowe Adkins.

The following Council members were in attendance: Paul Erickson, Cheryl Peck, Lyle Bair and Kelly Crafts.

Tucker Thatcher was excused.

City Manager Marlowe Adkins, City Engineer Darek Kimball, City Recorder Justin Lewis and City Treasurer Christine Purser were also in attendance.

APPROVAL OF CITY COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES

LYLE: I was not in attendance at the August 29th Council meeting so I will abstain from this vote.

A motion to approve the August 29, 2017 City Council meeting minutes was made by Cheryl, seconded by Paul and the vote was unanimous.

Yes Vote: Erickson, Peck, Crafts

No Vote: None

Absent: Thatcher

Abstain: Bair

DEPUTY'S REPORT

MAYOR: Deputy Brad Nelson has been reassigned to the investigations unit in the department. Deputy Robert Bergsjø is still a Star Deputy for the City. We have not yet been assigned a new deputy to replace Deputy Nelson in the City.

FIRE DEPARTMENT REPORT

LYLE: The department is still looking for volunteers. We need to spread the word that help is needed, especially during the day.

PAUL: When is the driveway and approach to the fire station going to be replaced?

JUSTIN: In October, the project will take about a month. A week to remove the area we are replacing. A week to pour the new curb and gutter and driveway. Two weeks for the new concrete to cure. When the time comes for this project all of the equipment in the fire station will be turned around and exit the south side of the fire station until the project is completed.

LYLE: There will be trick or treating at the fire station this year and we will advertise it.

YOUTH COUNCIL REPORT AND SCHOLARSHIP PRESENTATION TO THE PREVIOUS YOUTH COUNCIL MAYOR.

TAMARA PULLEN: Mitchell Koch was our Youth Council Mayor for the past school year.

MAYOR: What did you like about being Mayor?

MITCHELL KOCH: It was fun. I enjoyed everything we did. I think we made a difference in the community as a group.

MAYOR: What would you do differently in the future?

MITCHELL: More service projects.

MAYOR: What is one thing you learned?

MITCHELL: That the Mayor does not vote.

MAYOR: Mitchell, I would like to present you with this \$250 scholarship payment. This is to help you further your education and we ask it be spent on school related expenses. Thanks for your service to the community.

TAMARA: The new Mayor is Blake Johnson and he will do a wonderful job. We have created extra positions this year with new assignments so more kids are involved. We have a new public relations position where the Youth Council member will come before the Council and give updates to the Council rather than myself doing it monthly. Jaxon Lewis will be coming before the Council this school year for this assignment. I am taking some of the kids to Lagoon on October 14th as we celebrate how well they did overseeing the hamburger stand this year during Black & White Days. We will be hosting a Halloween dance on Friday, October 27th. I will notify the Sheriff's office as it is nice to just have them walking around and monitoring things. It will be a costume party and held here at the City Office building. We won't charge a fee this year to attend. Please let us know of any service projects we can do. The Youth Council wants to do more of them this year.

MAYOR: It is good to have the youth involved in the community. Tamara sacrifices endlessly to make this program work and does it for free as an act of service. It is nice to have the youth contributing to the betterment of the community.

REQUEST FOR A NEW STREET LIGHT FOR THE CACHE VALLEY TRANSIT DISTRICT (CVTD) STOP AT APPROXIMATELY 514 SOUTH STATE STREET.

MARLOWE: Zulayma Gonzalez rides the CVTD (Cache Valley Transit District) bus and has requested a street light at the bus stop at approximately 514 South State. There are lights at the other CVTD bus stops but not at this one.

PAUL: I don't see a reason why we would not add a light to this bus stop.

DAREK: I talked to Rocky Mountain Power about this request and they said they can install a light on the existing pole.

PAUL: Let's get it done.

MAYOR: I agree.

PAUL: Is there a light at the CVTD stop at the Black & White Days building?

MARLOWE: No. Power would need to be run to the bus stop. It is a dark area.

PAUL: Would light bars in the building be reasonable?

DAREK: If power is available to the building.

MARLOWE: Make sure whatever is installed is not easily vandalized. Solar powered lights won't work in this area as the bus stop is in the shade all day long.

MAYOR: What is the cost of the new light we approved tonight?

DAREK: The light fixture and installation is around \$1,500. The City will most likely have the option to pay for the light upfront or have the cost added to the monthly bill and paid for over time.

PAUL: This light needs to be installed for safety purposes. It is not a cheap light but the monthly service fee will only be around \$12.00 per month for power consumption.

DAREK: That is correct.

PAUL: It is a significant cost but a worthwhile cause.

DAREK: Who do you want to contact Rocky Mountain Power to inform them this project has been approved?

MAYOR: I would like you to. After talking with them please let us know an approximate installation date.

REQUEST FOR CONFIRMATION OF THE ABILITY TO REZONE PROPERTY FROM A-10 (AGRICULTURAL 10-ACRE) TO HIGHWAY COMMERCIAL (HC) INVOLVING LESS THAN FIVE (5) ACRES AT APPROXIMATELY 602 SOUTH 200 WEST.

MAYOR: Has Tom received a copy of our ordinance?

MARLOWE: Yes. Tom can make a zoning request for Highway Commercial (HC) even though the request is for less than five acres. Our ordinance allows for it. The parcel in question is located on the southwest corner of 600 South 200 West. This parcel is across the highway from the seminary building south of North Cache Middle School. Tom is wondering what the Council would allow to go on this parcel. Some people have given Tom bad information and told him the parcel cannot be rezoned.

MAYOR: Any rezone request in that area needs to be reviewed for compatibility.

CHERYL: The slope off of the highway will be an issue in regards to access.

PAUL: Who owns the property to the south of you?

TOM HARWARD: Lower Food's owns to the south and Ed Ogden to the west. The highway is on the east side. I have had a request by someone to purchase the property and put a construction office and warehouse and possibly some storage units on the property.

MAYOR: I don't think all of that could fit on a lot that is only 0.6 acres.

MARLOWE: Storage units would not be allowed.

PAUL: Storage units fall under a different zoning classification than Highway Commercial.

MAYOR: Applying for a rezone of under five acres is allowed in our code for a request for Highway Commercial zoning. The City Council is the land use authority for the City. We cannot give you any opinions. We have to review and approve or deny a request that you make. The Council is not allowed to give you direction on what to do.

PAUL: Have you made an official request to the City for a rezone?

TOM: I have had some people tell me the property cannot be built on or rezoned. I wanted to see if it could be rezoned.

MAYOR: Normally rezones are not allowed for parcels under five acres but in this case the City code would allow it if the request is for Highway Commercial.

MARLOWE: A rezone request for Highway Commercial is allowed under LUDMO.

MAYOR: The process would be that you make a rezone request to the City. The request is heard by the Planning Commission and then by the City Council. If the parcel is rezoned then

you can make a request for the type of building or business to go on the lot. Small pieces of land are hard to deal with. We cannot make plans for private parcels of land. The Council has to address on a case by case basis as they come before the Council. We have to consider does the request fit the area? Does the request hinder anyone already located in the area? Is the request compatible for the area? These are some of the things we have to consider.

TOM: I purchased the parcel around 36 to 37 years ago. I lived in the City previously.

PAUL: Would a rezone request to Highway Commercial make sense on this parcel in this area? I would say, yes it does. The use of the property is another question.

MARLOWE: The first step in the process is to make an official request for rezone and then it would go before the Planning Commission for consideration.

MAYOR: Another reality is the farmer next door is going to spread manure on the fields directly to the west of this parcel. There will be smell concerns from any business on Tom's parcel. This is something we will have to review carefully.

CHERYL: Visibility is an issue at the intersection as well because of the steep slope when coming to the intersection from the west.

PAUL: A rezone request can be made if you want to do it.

JUSTIN: Two meetings will be required. One before the Planning Commission and the other before the City Council.

TOM: I currently live in Highland and have a home in Hyrum. I can attend when I need to.

<p>DISCUSSION WITH RESIDENTS OF THE WHITE PINE SUBDIVISION ON THE ESTABLISHMENT OF A FENCE ON 300 EAST FROM 300 NORTH TO 500 NORTH.</p>
--

TYLER SAUNDERS: I am here with five others tonight and we represent three families who live in the White Pine Subdivision. We all live along 300 East between 300 North and 500 North. We have several concerns we want to voice this evening. We have concerns with the grading around our homes. We have all talked together as a group. The elevation of the lots at the time we purchased them is different than the elevation of the lots today. We were never informed of this change by the developer. A decision to elevate the walls of the detention pond was made which put our homes further above ground. We were unaware of all of these changes. Four of the lots have a slope of 60 to 70 degrees in the backyard leading down to the sidewalk. There is significant runoff from these lots onto the sidewalk. We talked to city employee Shane Lewis and he said the initial plan was for all of the homes on 300 East to have walkout basements. We like the new sidewalk running along 300 East. The fence would be installed below the grade of our homes. There is no place for the fence to go but on our property. All of the fencing will be on private property. We were never made aware of this. No information was provided to us on the fencing or grading. Big machinery is driving across our lots still and causing ruts and other issues. There are drainage issues caused by these ruts. Dirt is still being moved from one lot to another by the developer. I have done some measuring and estimate I have about 3,000 square feet of unusable space in my backyard because of the grade. The idea of having a fence in place is good but the reality of having the fence below grade is not good. All of our homes sit above the grade of the fence. No privacy would be offered from the fence. The use of our backyards is limited along our property line by the fence.

TIM BALLARD: Our home is located just north of the retention pond. Our backyard has about 18 feet of usable space and 20 feet of unusable space. When we purchased the lot we met with Visionary Homes and toured the lot we purchased. We thought at that time we would have about

40 feet of usable space. There is a lot of wasted space in our backyard going down to the sidewalk. I was told the original plan was for walkout basements. The developer tried to save money by not hauling away the dirt and building up the lots on the west side of the property.

MAYOR: Was there a difference in the cost of the lots along 300 East compared to lots in other parts of the subdivision?

TIM: We don't know for sure but heard there was about an \$8,000 to \$10,000 difference.

CHRIS: Have you talked to Visionary Homes about your concerns?

DAVID BAIR: We have tried to but they won't call any of us back.

TYLER: We invited the owner of Visionary Homes, Jeff Jackson, to this meeting but he never responded to our invite request.

PAUL: When you purchased your home you were never told there would be a fence along 300 East or around the retention pond?

TYLER: That is correct. The fence is a good idea but turns out bad because of the grading issues. The fence is worthless.

MAYOR: Everyone needs to realize as the City is developed to the east and homes go east of 300 East when fences are installed they will almost all be lower than the grade of the homes because of the slope they are being built on. If you go around Cache Valley and specifically in Smithfield, North Logan and Logan you will see homes above the fences. The fence for this project was planned from the beginning. The sidewalk on 300 East was planned for as well. The developer is paying a significant amount of money for these two items to be installed. The fence is not being installed just for the new homes. The fence will also protect those walking on the sidewalk as it can keep animals away from them and their children. The fence is good for safety for those outside of the fence and inside the fence. The Council made the request for the fence from the beginning. What do you want from the Council?

TYLER: When the homes were originally built the slope was more gradual. Dirt and mud from our homes is running onto the sidewalk. The idea of a fence is good but not with the current slope.

MAYOR: No matter what the slope is there will be runoff issues until there is vegetation on the ground. Runoff is always an issue during construction. The City is not in a position to get in a dispute between the homeowner and the developer. I would ask you to please think about what I am going to say. There is an important balance between private property rights, private negotiations, contracts and lastly City rights. The dilemma of the Council is when does the City get involved? Where and when is it appropriate for the Council to intervene? There was going to be a retention pond from the beginning. The fence and sidewalk were required from the start. That has never changed. The developer can change the layout of the land. The City approves the number of lots, sizes of the lots and layout of the subdivision. The City does not deal with or control each home that is built. There was initial talk about some homes with walkout basements but we cannot enforce that requirement and it has changed. We have certain rights as a City but we don't have a right to tell the developer how to build each home. If we give into your request of not requiring the developer to install the fence we have to make the same request to all future developments. All of your concerns are with the developer not with the City.

TYLER: We agree but we needed to start somewhere.

BROOKEANNE BALLARD: The developer said we needed to come to the City with our concerns about the fence.

TYLER: We feel like we are being pushed back and forth between the City and the developer.

PAUL: Weren't all of those foundations of the homes in question dug with the current slope of the lots? Darek were any grade changes made after the foundations were installed?

DAREK: The west berm did get raised approximately 6 inches to accommodate the additional depth required due to leaving the pine and other trees on the south end. The original plan called for a ten foot flat area around certain portions of the pond. The trees were left at the south end of the pond so the pond is deeper to hold the water that would have been held where the trees were left. The developer made a request to lessen the slope on the homes on the east side of the retention pond. We agreed to the request and the ten foot wide flat spot area is gone. The grading on the City area was done at a rate of 3 to 1. The fence was planned from the start of the project. One of the reasons for the fence at the pond was to have a defined boundary between the City and the homeowner. The City did not want any vehicle access off of 300 East into homes in the White Pine Subdivision. This is a high speed road in town. The fence is to help protect the backyards of the homes from the road. We cannot tell the developer or homeowner how to shape or grade their lots since they are private property. I would ask how removing the fence along 300 East would help out the grading issue on your yards?

TYLER: A gentler slope in our backyards would make our backyards more usable.

PAUL: The fence does not change the slope of the yard. Whether there is a fence or not you can still modify your backyard as you wish.

JANA SAUNDERS: We cannot control what happens in our backyards if there is a fence in the way.

TYLER: We would like to see a retaining wall along 300 East or a gentler slope in our backyards. Even with a gentle slope the fence will still be below the grade of our homes.

DAREK: On May 16, 2017, I met with representatives of Visionary Homes onsite. I talked to them about our concerns and notified them the slope of the City property had to be 3 to 1. If a retaining wall is installed the fence could be placed on top of the retaining wall on private property.

PAUL: Explain to me the concerns around the retaining pond.

DAREK: The slope from the backyards of the homes crossing onto City property is the same.

BROOKEANNE: We were never told the fence would be on our property. We should be involved in your discussions like this with the developer.

DAREK: We don't invite the property owners to meetings with the developer to discuss what will happen on City property as part of the project.

MAYOR: I have been up to this development many times with City staff members and the developer. I was the one that asked the developer to leave the trees at the south end of the retention pond. The City does not deal with individual lots. Issues with individual lots go to the developer not the City. Part of what you are paying the developer for is to get things worked out with the City and completed. This is the nicest subdivision in town. The developer installed curb and gutter at his discretion. This was not a requirement of the City. The subdivision has a nice layout and plan. We have requirements for roads and infrastructure but nothing for individual homes. We don't approve individual homes or plans. Individual issues for each home need to be taken to the developer for consideration. We are limited in what we can do.

JANA: Is the slope of the pond correct?

DAREK: Yes, for the City owned property. We cannot control the slope on private property.

MAYOR: The City owned property is graded as required. The retention pond was a requirement of the development. The retention pond has been designed by an engineer. The pond has to hold "X" amount of gallons of storm water from the homes in the subdivision.

JANA: Cody from Visionary Homes told me the slope of the pond is not yet 3 to 1.

DAREK: We govern the slope of the City right-of-way and have to avoid making recommendations for private property.

MAYOR: I wish I had the answers you wanted to hear. You have the right to do with your property what you want to do. When the City tries to intrude into private property matters it becomes problematic. The only reason you were allowed to come before the Council is because we are a small town that allows it. A dispute between a property owner and the developer is not a City issue and should not come before the Council. The developer is required to install the fence as part of the project. We cannot sign off and take ownership of the roads and other items until all the projects are completed by the developer. The fence is ready to be installed now according to the developer. With winter coming they want this project completed so we are required to push snow not them. We cannot push snow on the roads until they are owned by the City. You need to meet with the developer with a plan of what you want to do. I know that is not the answer you are looking for. We are fine if a retaining wall is installed but the top of the fence will remain level. There will not be a jog in the top of the fence from property owner to property owner. The only way the installation of the fence is delayed is if everyone agrees to install a retaining wall. There are deadlines in place for the developer and unless we hear differently from the developer the fence will be installed as directed in the past.

TYLER: We wanted to inform the Council of what is going on in our area and get your input. Originally we did not plan on living in Richmond but we are thrilled to be here. We just need to find a way to work through our yard issues.

MAYOR: Thanks for coming in and being willing to listen to our side as well. This is not the first retention pond issue we have had or dealt with. The Council is concerned about who is going to maintain the park strip area on 300 East from 300 North to 500 North between the sidewalk and road. We don't have that answer right now. Forty new homes in the City is a lot. Some things we are experiencing are new to the City staff and Council. This is the first subdivision that has a City owned park as part of it. The City is invested in this area and wants it to be nice and have a nice park. There will be issues with every development that comes along.

JANA: Do you have a say of when the fence is installed? If a retaining wall is installed on each property along 300 East we would like to have the fence installed after the retaining walls are completed.

MAYOR: The issue with that request is either every home has a retaining wall or the fence goes as currently planned.

TYLER: Right now there are two empty lots on 300 East that have not been built on.

JANA: What is the timeline for the installation of the fence?

MAYOR: The main issue is the two unsold lots. It could potentially be years before they are sold and developed. We cannot hold the developer off from finishing the project.

DAREK: The developer wants to finish the project so we will sign off on it. They have bonding obligations and deadlines they must abide by.

MAYOR: There might be something we can work out around the retention pond since all of the homes are already built but 300 East is problematic. Let me give you an example from the past. The Sadler Subdivision is over ten years old and right now the last lot is being developed and the last section of sidewalk will be installed in the spring. There have been sidewalk gaps throughout the entire subdivision since the beginning, over ten years ago and that is not acceptable. The longest we can delay the installation of the fence on 300 East is until spring time.

PAUL: I don't know if we can delay the installation that long as Visionary Homes wants to finish up their part of the project now.

BROOKEANNE: I know they are ready to install the fence now.

MAYOR: Bonding is an issue. We cannot hold up the developer when it comes to a financial obligation they are required to meet. You need to go to the developer and see if you can work out some type of agreement.

DAREK: You are going to need to request that Visionary Homes installs a retaining wall on the two vacant lots now or there is no reason to delay the installation of the fence.

MAYOR: I would suggest trying to work with the developer. We have had a very good relationship with Visionary Homes on this project. They have been very respectful to the City Council and staff.

DAREK: In order to delay installation of the fence it would require a separate development agreement which sometimes are tedious and problematic. I would suggest they talk to Visionary Homes first and let us know what has changed, if anything. Visionary Homes wants to close out this project as soon as possible. They have already delayed the installation of the fence for a long time.

BROOKEANNE: The fence has not been a priority for them until now when they want to finish the project.

MAYOR: The City will not push back the date of the installation of the fence unless they call and make a request.

DAREK: Snowplowing in Phase 3 of this project will be a problem until the City signs off on the project. If we don't own the roads we cannot plow them.

MAYOR: Right now we have some leverage with the developer. Once we sign the agreement we don't have any leverage. Winter is when issues occur. Everyone has projects they want to put off until spring. Then spring comes and nothing happens and the project is not completed. I think the best option is for you to meet with the developer immediately. Let us know if something changes or if it stays the same as today.

PAUL: The only person that can ask for an extension of time on the installation of the fence is the developer. We cannot hold them up if they are ready to go.

TYLER: Thank you for letting us attend and voice our concerns.

MONTHLY FINANCIAL REVIEW WITH DISCUSSION AND DECISIONS AS NECESSARY.

JUSTIN: Thanks to Marlowe and Chris for their help with the audit. It required a lot of legwork last week and should be finalized relatively soon. The findings from the audit will be presented at the October Council meeting. The final RAPZ tax reimbursement request for the land purchase has been submitted. The new roof on the Post Office has been completed. Franchise tax received in August totaled \$13,966, the majority coming from Rocky Mountain Power. When we have long hot summers more electricity is consumed and more is paid to the City as part of our franchise agreement. A donation was received by the Fire Department in the amount of \$100. The general election will be held on Tuesday, November 7th. Even though Kelly, Lyle and Cheryl are running unopposed their names will still be on the ballot. I clarified this with the State of Utah Lieutenant Governor's office as they are the election authority for the State. The new driveway project at the fire station will start in October. The cost for fiber at the Park School building has been paid for at a cost of \$12,625. A significant amount of funding was

spent on sidewalks in July and August for the sidewalks replaced on 100 North. Class "C" Road funds spent in July and August totaled \$66,120. The City transferred our annual allocation of \$10,000 to the sports complex in July and Lewiston paid their annual allocation in August. We also received some rental fees in September for the rental of the portable bleachers to other communities. You will see that amount on the financials next month.

MAYOR: Should we promote rental of the bleachers to other communities?

MARLOWE: I wouldn't for liability reasons.

MAYOR: I don't see any issues there and think this is a good way to generate more revenue for the sports complex.

JUSTIN: Building in the White Pine subdivision is moving along rapidly insofar as water impact fees collected in this budget year total \$37,400 and sewer impact fees \$43,274.

DAREK: What projects are the impact fees being used to pay for?

JUSTIN: For water, it is the new two million gallon water tank and infrastructure project. For sewer, it is the MBR plant as well as the new main trunk line on the north end of town. We have three loans we pay on in the water department. The new well and tank project and the loan from the sewer fund. The third loan is from a project from back in the late 1990's. The remaining principal balance on that loan is \$77,000. The next payment on the loan is in December. The intent at this time is to pay off that loan in December. This would be paying off the loan two years early. Kudos to the Council for being frugal and saving funds to help pay off this project early.

COUNCIL MEMBER REPORTS

PAUL: The purchase of the Texaco station property at 200 West Main has been completed. Abate-X is assessing the asbestos in the building and letting us know how to deal with it. Once the appropriate permits are received the building will be demolished and hauled away. Nothing currently in the building is salvageable. After the demolition we will look at some options for removing the gas tank. Most likely the best option will be to remove the tank and not leave it in the ground.

CHERYL: What will happen to the tank?

DAREK: It is a fiberglass tank and will be removed in pieces as it comes out of the ground. Some pine trees will be removed as well as they are right on or next to the tank.

PAUL: Long term I think the area can be used for a parking lot for the park as we expand the park to the north and add a restroom facility and new pavilion area.

CHERYL: How will the parking lot be accessed?

PAUL: Only from the north. The access from the west off of the highway will not be allowed.

DAREK: There is a lot of planning to be done for the future but the access on the north could possibly be moved further to the east.

MAYOR: I appreciate Paul's willingness to oversee this project from the beginning until now. The project is not done and will continue into the future as we make plans for the area.

PAUL: I am glad we are now to this point.

CHERYL: The mock emergency preparedness drill scheduled for this Saturday at the Cherry Peak Resort has been cancelled.

MAYOR'S REPORT

MAYOR: The first meeting of the Park School building renovation committee will be tomorrow. They will look at options for both the Park School building as well as the Carnegie Library. The committee will come up with recommendations for both buildings and bring to the Council for consideration. The Council will have the final say and approval of all projects at either building. I met with the local building inspector and two design engineers as they will start to put together some possible renderings of what the building will look like inside in the future. Right now the thought process is to put the City Treasurer and City Manager in the offices formerly occupied by the Principal and her staff. A new Council room and meeting room will be created in the south end of the building. The Cache County Sheriff's Office will occupy the west end of the south wing of the building. If we can keep under 300 occupants per room a sprinkling system will not be required. We will have to install fire walls where needed so we don't have to install a sprinkling system. The new Council room could hold as many as 150 people based on current calculations. Right now there is not handicap access on the north end of the building because of the slope of the road and the curb and gutter. There is handicap access on the south end of the building.

DAREK: If Head Start moves into the building will their current building go away?

MAYOR: Yes. We want to use that area as a parking lot in the future. The gymnasium will be the last area that is renovated as it is the oldest part of the building and will require the most changes. It is considered a high use area and has more building code restrictions. Our next Council meeting is on October 17th.

A motion to adjourn and pay bills was made by Cheryl, seconded by Lyle and the vote was unanimous.

Yes Vote: Erickson, Peck, Bair, Crafts

No Vote: None

Absent: Thatcher

Aflac	110.44
Airgas	27.88
Bear River Health	80.00
Bray Sales	2238.53
Brown Monument	237.50
Cameron's Excavating	10630.00
Century Link	252.92
Chemtech Ford	1222.00
CNH	93.87
Coats	800.00
Coca	
Cola	121.04
Comcast	347.85
Consolidated Electric	383.86
CV Insurance	560.00
Denny's	108.24

Richmond City Council Meeting Minutes, September 26, 2017

Dominion Energy	155.15
Ecosystems	3677.65
Fergeson	176.00
Glenn's Electric	12.50
HACH	7362.89
Hall's	152.35
Horizon Wireless	180.00
Industrial Tool	601.00
Intermountain Work Med	67.00
IPACO	91.46
JP	
Cooke	112.07
Jrock	1730.00
JUB	9919.58
Lee's	246.79
Lee's	
Ace	7.19
Lewiston City	2009.61
McGinnis Law	300.00
Mentally Awake	700.00
Micromarketing	23.99
NAPA	294.53
Northwood	
Rentals	188.50
Olson & Hoggan	1624.00
Parsons	3951
Peck Brothers Constr	7370.38
PEHP	262.48
Randys	159.98
Remote Control Systems	12560.00
Renegade Rentals	352.45
Rocky Mtn Landscape	400.00
Rocky Mtn Power	11455.24
Safety Supply	66.48
Selecthealth	1032.00
SKM	255.00
Smithfield Imp	18.48
Specialized Pest	105.00
Stotz	248.42
Thatcher	2918.12
The Home Depot	258.3
UEN	406.03
ULGT	38.20

United Service and Sales	66.50
USA Blue Book	311.31
Verizon	323.31
Wex Bank	1050.98
Wheeler	56.50
Zions	1730.08

Adjournment at 8:34 P.M.

RICHMOND CITY CORPORATION

Jeffrey D. Young, Mayor

ATTEST:

Justin B. Lewis, City Recorder